

MOOREA FUND US EQUITY

Monthly Factsheet


INVESTMENT OBJECTIVE

The Sub-Fund seeks to provide long-term capital growth by investing primarily in equities of US sustainable companies or companies that demonstrate improving sustainable characteristics. The Sub-Fund invests at least 90% of its assets in equities of companies that are domiciled, or carrying out the main part of their economic activity, in the USA. All the equities invested in portfolio will be researched and analysed from an ESG standpoint. The Sub-Fund will mainly invest in sustainable companies or companies that demonstrate improving sustainable characteristics. The Sub-Fund is actively managed with reference to the following benchmark: S&P 500 Index. The benchmark is used both for performance comparison and as a universe from which to select securities.

PERFORMANCE

Past performance should not be seen as an indication of future performance.

PERFORMANCE GRAPH (base 100)



RETURN

Cumulative	1 month	3 months	Year-to-date	1 year	3 years *	5 years *	Launch*
Fund	-9.48%	-9.55%	-9.55%	7.32%	9.46%	19.44%	11.95%
Benchmark**	-9.26%	-8.54%	-8.54%	6.78%	8.46%	17.14%	12.39%
Gap	-0.21%	-1.01%	-1.01%	0.54%	1.00%	2.30%	-0.44%

Calendar Year	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020
Fund	34.43%	23.41%	-16.40%	39.43%	6.94%
Benchmark**	31.54%	20.02%	-14.16%	36.53%	6.66%
Gap	2.89%	3.39%	-2.23%	2.90%	0.29%

Calendar Year	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Fund	28.28%	-5.09%	-	-	-
Benchmark**	31.25%	-1.51%	-	-	-
Gap	-2.97%	-3.58%	-	-	-

*Annualised performance

**S&P 500 PR

Source : Société Générale Investment Solutions (Europe)

RISK & VOLATILITY MEASURES

	Volatility			Beta	Sharpe Ratio
	1 year	3 years *	5 years *		
Fund	16.36%	16.71%	16.20%	1.02%	0.48%
Benchmark**	15.22%	15.94%	15.39%	0.98%	0.44%


Source : Société Générale Investment Solutions (Europe)



MARCH 2025

SHARE CLASS INSTITUTIONAL EUR
LU1648219357

Synthetic Risk Indicator (SRI) ⁽¹⁾



Overall Morningstar rating (2)

★★★★★

SFDR

Article 8

Minimum Sustainable Investment

40%

Morningstar category

US Large-Cap Blend Equity

Recommended investment horizon

5 years

Fund assets

EUR 536.15 M

NAV

EUR 4328.93

Fund base currency

USD

Share class currency

EUR

Inception date

16/11/2017

Legal Form

UCITS Luxembourg SICAV

Management Company

Société Générale Investment Solutions (Europe)

Manager Name

David Small

Valuation / Subscriptions / Redemptions

Daily

Minimum subscription

3 000 000 EUR

Other share classes

One Off Costs

Entry Costs	5.00%
Exit Costs	0.00%

Ongoing Costs


Other Costs	1.00%
Transaction Costs	1.00%

⁽¹⁾ Risk scale from 1 (lowest risk) to 7 (highest risk), the lowest category does not mean a risk-free investment. The risk and reward category shown is not guaranteed to remain unchanged and that the categorisation of the Sub-Fund may shift over time. The prospectus, the KIID (Key Investor Information Document) and annual reports of the Fund are available at <https://investmentsolutions.societegenerale.lu/en/> and on request at the registered office of SG IS Fund, of the Management Company or of the Custodian Bank.

⁽²⁾ © 2025 Morningstar, Inc. all rights reserved. The information contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its information providers; (2) may not be reproduced or redistributed; and (3) is presented without warranty as to its accuracy, completeness or timeliness. Neither Morningstar nor its information providers shall be liable for any loss or damage arising from the use of this information.

Financial Criteria

SECTOR BREAKDOWN



TOP 10 HOLDINGS

Name	Weight
Microsoft	8.4%
Apple	6.6%
NVIDIA	6.4%
Amazon.com	6.0%
Mastercard	4.5%
Alphabet	3.5%
AbbVie	2.7%
UnitedHealth Group	2.6%
Procter & Gamble	2.0%
Arthur J. Gallagher	1.9%

Source: Société Générale Investment Solutions (Europe) as at 31/03/2025.
Actual weighting and investment allocations are subject to change on an ongoing basis and may not be exactly as shown. Investors should understand the different asset classes which make up the strategy as they have different risk characteristics. Investments may be subject to market fluctuations and the price and value of investments and the income derived from them can go down as well as up. Your capital may be at risk and you may not get back the amount you invest. The tax benefits and liabilities will depend on individual circumstances and may change in the future.

Extra-Financial Criteria

ESG INDICATORS

	Fund	S&P 500
ESG Quality Score	6.6	6.4
Rating coverage	98.97%	99.91%
ESG Median Rating	A	A
Average Controversy Rating	3.3	3.1
Rating coverage	98.97%	99.91%
Carbon Footprint (tons of CO2/Million invested)	60.6	97.1
MSCI Coverage	98.97%	99.91%




One tonne of CO2 is a round trip from Paris to New York

SUSTAINABILITY INDICATORS

Sustainable investments (%)	64
Engagement	40%
Environmental objectives (%)	46
Social objectives (%)	18
Taxonomy (%)	0

ESG RATING DISTRIBUTION



BUSINESS INVOLVEMENT DISTRIBUTION

For more information, please refer to the prospectus.

Sectors	% of portfolio revenues
Gambling	0.02%
Civilians arms	0.02%
Oil and gas	0.00%
Tobacco	0.00%
Genetically modified organisms (GMOs)	0.00%

CONTROVERSY FLAG DISTRIBUTION



TOP 3 SUSTAINABLE IMPACT CONTRIBUTORS

	Sustainable revenues (€ mln)	% of sustainable revenues
EQUINIX, INC.	31.5	71%
NVIDIA CORPORATION	30	78%
TESLA, INC.	25	100%

IMPACT INDICATORS VS. S&P 500



Companies with carbon emission reduction initiatives

59%

Universe: 56.0%

MSCI coverage (Funds/universe) 99.0%/100.0%



Board gender diversity

37%

Universe: 36.0%

MSCI coverage (Funds/universe) 99.0%/100.0%



Companies with a policy to address deforestation

17%

Universe: 83.0%

MSCI coverage (Funds/universe) 99.0%/100.0%



Violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines

0%

Universe: 0.0%

MSCI coverage (Funds/universe) 99.0%/100.0%

Source: Société Générale Investment Solutions (Europe), Bloomberg, MSCI ESG

MANAGEMENT COMMENTARY (QUARTERLY)

The portfolio posted a negative return and underperformed its benchmark for the first quarter of 2025. On the negative side, our stock selection in media and retail as well as sector allocation in commodities detracted from performance. At the security level, our position in Block for a part of the period was among the largest detractors. Block experienced mixed stock performance, with notable financial improvements and challenges. The company saw growth in gross profit and adjusted operating income, driven by product development and marketing investments. However, Cash App's monthly active user growth stalled, and transaction and loan losses increased from greater Cash App borrow lending. Additionally, company layoffs also impacted investor sentiment. Among individual names, our exposure to Sarepta Therapeutics hurt performance. The stock underperformed following the announcement of a patient death due to acute liver failure after receiving Elevidys, its one-time gene therapy for the treatment of Duchenne Muscular dystrophy (DMD). Despite this setback, the company reported strong financial results, including substantial year-over-year and quarter-over-quarter growth in product revenue, driven by the successful launch of Elevidys and positive updates from its pipeline programs. On the positive side, our sector allocation in consumer cyclical, telecommunications and insurance contributed to performance. Among individual names, our overweight in AbbVie was the largest contributor during the quarter. Stock performance was positively influenced by higher long-term guidance for its immunology drugs, Skyrizi and Rinvoq, which offset weaker performance in Aesthetics. The company reported strong quarterly and annual revenue growth, driven by robust sales in its immunology and neuroscience segments. Despite challenges in the aesthetics market, the overall financial outlook remained strong, with significant contributions from oncology and neuroscience. The company's strategic acquisitions and pipeline advancements further bolstered investor confidence. At the security level, our overweight position in Howmet Aerospace proved beneficial. Howmet executed well amidst supply chain issues in commercial aerospace with significant rise in stock performance. Their proprietary engineering in the hot section of aircraft engine continues to be highly valued by customers as expressed in the price increases and market share gains in the contents of the LEAP engine (GE Aerospace/Safran joint venture) and the GTF engine (Pratt Whitney). We maintain conviction as we believe the company will continue gaining share as the aftermarket remains strong, given an aging fleet. Additionally, competitors are struggling to expand their manufacturing capabilities to meet the increasing production demands from Airbus and Boeing.

GLOSSARY and DISCLAIMER

SFDR (Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation)

Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2019 on sustainability disclosures in the financial services industry (the so-called SFDR Regulation or Disclosure Regulation).

TAXONOMY

Regulation (EU) 2020/852 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 June 2020 (known as the Taxonomy Regulation) establishes a framework to facilitate sustainable investment and amends Regulation (EU) 2019/2088.

ESG RATING

MSCI ESG Ratings aim to measure a company's resilience to long-term, financially relevant ESG risks (Environment, Social et Gouvernance). For each company a Weighted Average Key Issue Score is calculated based on the underlying Key Issue scores and weights. To arrive at a final letter rating, this score is normalized by industry. **The Industry Adjusted Score corresponds to a rating between AAA and CCC.** These assessments of company performance are not absolute but are explicitly intended to be relative to the standards and performance of a company's industry peers.

*The ESG quality score of the universe is adjusted for the 20% lowest ESG scores.

Letter Rating	CCC	B	BB	BBB	A	AA	AAA
Score	0 - 1.4	1.4-2.9	2.9-4.3	4.3-5.7	5.7-7.1	7.1-8.6	8.6-10

CARBON FOOTPRINT

As key climate change indicators, Greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) are classified as per the Greenhouse Gas Protocol and are grouped in three categories:

- **Scope 1 - Direct scope:** GHG emissions are those directly occurring from sources that are owned or controlled by the institution.
- **Scope 2 - Indirect scope:** GHG emissions are indirect emissions generated in the electricity production consumed by the institution.
- **Scope 3 - Indirect scope:** GHG emissions are all the other indirect emissions that are consequences of the institution's activities, but that occur from sources not owned and controlled by the institution.

The fund uses MSCI data who are based on declarative or estimated figures from companies. It aims to take into account the GHG emissions of Scope 1 and 2, produced by the companies held in the portfolio. GHG emissions are compared to the sales of each company and adjusted with the security weight in the portfolio. Emissions are expressed in carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e).

SUSTAINABLE IMPACT REVENUES

Revenue exposure to Sustainable Impact Solutions reflects the extent to which company revenue is exposed to products and services that help solve the world's major social and environmental challenges. It is calculated as a weighted average, using portfolio or index weights and each issuer's percent of revenue generated from Sustainable Impact Solutions. To be eligible to contribute, an issuer must maintain minimum ESG standards.

COVERAGE MSCI

The ESG MSCI rating does not cover all issuers, so it is important to display the coverage ratio to understand the rating. On this document, the rating coverage is displayed as a percentage (%), i.e. the percentage of covered securities on the entire portfolio.

DISCLAIMER





The content of this document should not be interpreted as an investment service or as investment advice, and under no circumstances is it to be used or considered as an offer or incentive to purchase or sell a particular product. The content is intended for information purposes only and to provide investors with the relevant reference information for any investment decisions. It has no regard to the specific financial objectives of any individual investor, nor may it be construed as legal, accounting or tax advice. Past performance is no indication of future results. Similarly, the present document is not intended as an incentive, offer or solicitation to invest in the asset categories listed herein. Investors are warned that the placing of stock market orders requires a perfect understanding of the markets and their governing legislation. Before investing, they must be aware that certain markets may be subject to rapid fluctuations and are speculative or lacking in liquidity. Accordingly, certain assets or categories of assets listed in the present document may not be appropriate for investors. In certain cases, investments may even bear an indeterminate high risk of loss that exceeds the initial investment made. Investors are therefore urged to seek the advice of their financial advisor or intermediary in order to assess the particular nature of an investment and the risks involved and its compatibility with their individual investment profile and objectives.

For Swiss investors: the Sub-Fund has been authorised for public sale by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier in Luxembourg and for public offering in Switzerland by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (shortly 'FINMA'). The prospectus, the KIID (Key Investor Information Document) and annual reports of the Fund are available on the website www.fundinfo.com and can be obtained from our Representative and Paying Agent in Switzerland : Société Générale, Paris, succursale de Zurich, Talacker 50, Case postale 1928, 8021 Zurich.

CONTROVERSY

An ESG Controversy may be defined as an incident or ongoing situation in which a company faces allegations of negatively impacting stakeholders (i.e.: workers, communities, the environment, shareholders, or society at large), via some type of wrongdoing across several ESG indicators. The aim of ESG Controversies research is to assess the severity of the negative impact of each situation, rather than the extent of negative press attention or public opprobrium.

For each issuer, the ESG rating comes along with a Controversy flag which reflects the lowest flag among analyzed key indicators.

-  **Green flag:** the company is not involved in major recent controversies
-  **Yellow flag:** in recent moderate-to-severe level controversies
-  **Orange flag:** one or more recent severe structural controversies that are ongoing
-  **Red flag:** one or more recent very severe controversies

Controversy flag translates also into controversy score:

Red 0 - Orange 1 - Yellow 2 to 4 - Green 5 to 10

COMPANIES WITH CARBON EMISSION REDUCTION INITIATIVES

Companies with carbon emission reduction initiatives provide companies with a clearly defined pathway to future-proof growth by specifying how much and how quickly they need to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. Targets adopted by companies to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are considered "science-based" if they are in line with the goals of the Paris Agreement –to limit global warming to well-below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and pursue efforts to limit warming to 1.5°C.

* Source : Science Based Target Initiative.

IMPACT INDICATORS

The impact indicators allow us to report on the environmental, social and governmental commitment of our investments in a relevant and sustainable manner. The four indicators displayed are not an exhaustive list, but were chosen by the management company. Board Gender diversity: This figure represents the percentage of women on the board of directors of companies.

Company with a policy to address deforestation: Share of investment in companies with a policy of combating deforestation.

VIOLATIONS OF UN GLOBAL COMPACT PRINCIPLES AND OECD

GUIDELINES

The United Nations Global Compact and the OECD Guidelines encourage ethical and responsible business behaviour by addressing issues such as human rights, labour, the environment and anti-corruption.

ESG LUXFLAG LABEL

LuxFLAG is an independent, non-profit, international association created in Luxembourg in July 2006. The objective of the LuxFLAG ESG Label is to reassure investors that the labelled funds actually incorporate ESG (Environmental, Social and Governance) criteria throughout the entire investment process.